AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 26, 2010 AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 13, 2010 AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 5, 2010

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2009-10 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 2299

Introduced by Assembly Member Blakeslee

February 18, 2010

An act to add Section 39602.7 to the Health and Safety Code, relating to air pollution.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2299, as amended, Blakeslee. State Air Resources Board: rules and regulations: impacts analysis.

Existing law authorizes the State Air Resources Board to regulate pollution from primarily vehicular sources, and designates the state board as the state agency charged with monitoring and regulating sources of emissions of greenhouse gases.

This bill would require the state board to complete and place into the rulemaking record a related impacts analysis for a proposed rule proposed adoption, amendment, or repeal of a major regulation that will have an adverse economic impact on California business enterprises and individuals in an amount exceeding \$10,000,000, as provided. The bill would authorize a person to request the state board to submit the related impacts analysis for external peer review in accordance with specified requirements. The state board would be authorized to assess a fee on a person making a request for external peer review to cover the administrative costs of processing that request.

AB 2299 — 2 —

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 39602.7 is added to the Health and Safety 2 Code, to read:
 - 39602.7. (a) For purposes of this section, the following terms have the following meanings:
 - (1) "Major proposed regulation" means a proposed adoption, amendment, or repeal of a regulation that will have an adverse economic impact on California business enterprises and individuals in an amount exceeding ten million dollars (\$10,000,000), as estimated by the state board in the assessment required by subdivision (a) of Section 11346.3 of the Government Code.

(1)

- (2) "Related impacts" means the reasonably identifiable and significant impacts of a proposed rule major proposed regulation, that are premised upon, or derived from, empirical data or other scientific or economic findings, conclusions, or assumptions, including, but not limited to, impacts to regarding any of the following:
- (A) Other statutory and regulatory programs and standards, under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission or any department within the California Environmental Protection Agency or the Natural Resources Agency, whose objectives are likely to be impacted by the major proposed regulation or whose objectives are likely to impact the implementation of the major proposed regulation.
- (B) In-state jobs—Short-term and long-term in-state jobs in individual sectors of the economy affected by the major proposed regulation.
- (C) The Revenues to the General Fund and special funds due to changes in economic activity attributable to the major proposed regulation.

31 (2)

(3) "Related impacts analysis" means an evaluation of the related impacts of a proposed rule major proposed regulation quantified to the extent feasible and appropriate and otherwise qualitatively described.

-3- AB 2299

(3) "Rule" means a rule, regulation, order, or standard or the amendment, supplement, or revision of a rule, regulation, order, or standard, adopted by the state board to implement, interpret, or make specific a law enforced or administered by the state board, or to govern the state board's procedure.

- (b) The state board shall complete and place into the rulemaking record for a proposed rule major proposed regulation a related impacts analysis for the proposed rule major proposed regulation, at or before the time the proposed rule major proposed regulation is made available to the public, at a public workshop or for purposes of public comment.
- (c) (1) Within 15 calendar days after the date the proposed rule major proposed regulation is made available to the public as described in subdivision (b), a person may request the state board to submit the related impacts analysis-created completed pursuant to subdivision (b) for external peer review pursuant to this subdivision for any proposed rule determined by the state board to have a positive or negative economic impact of at least ten million dollars (\$10,000,000). The state board may assess a fee not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500) on a person making a request pursuant to this paragraph to cover the administrative costs of processing that request.
- (2) If, within 30 calendar days after making the request, the person requesting the external peer review enters into an enforceable agreement with the state board that requires that person to fully reimburse the state board for all costs associated with conducting the external peer review, the state board shall enter into an agreement with the National Bureau of Economic Research, the University of California, the California State University, or a group of economists of comparable stature and qualifications to conduct the external peer review of the related impacts analysis of the rule proposed for adoption major proposed regulation.
- (d) (1) The state board shall use the process for selecting external peer reviewers adopted pursuant to Section 57004, except as otherwise provided in this subdivision.
- (2) A person shall not serve as an external peer reviewer pursuant to subdivision (c) if that person participated in the development of the *related* impacts analysis of the *proposed rule* major proposed regulation, or if that person has a financial interest

AB 2299 —4—

1 2

in any entity or person that would be subject to the major proposed regulation or has any other conflict of interest.

- (3) The person who requests the external peer review pursuant to this section, a person affiliated with that requester, and personnel of the state board shall not participate in the selection of the individual external peer reviewers or contact or communicate with the individual external peer reviewer during the peer review.
- (4) The state board may contact or communicate with an external peer reviewer for the purpose of entering into a contract with the reviewer, as described in subdivision (c), and for purposes of providing information as described in paragraph (1) of subdivision (e).
- (5) The identity of the individual external peer reviewers shall remain confidential until the external peer reviewer submits the written report to the state board.
- (e) If the requirements of subdivision (c) are met, the state board shall not take any action to adopt the final version of a rule major proposed regulation unless all of the following conditions are met:
- (1) The state board submits the related proposed rule major proposed regulation, including the related impacts analysis, and other appropriate materials on which the related impacts analysis of the proposed rule major proposed regulation are based, to the external peer reviewer for evaluation.
- (2) The external peer review entity prepares a written report that contains an evaluation of the related impacts analysis within 90 days of receiving the materials listed in paragraph (1) from the a time frame determined by the state board. If the external peer review entity finds that the state board has failed to demonstrate that the related impacts analysis is based upon sound-scientific or economic knowledge, methods, or practices, the report shall state that finding and the reasons explaining that finding.
- (3) The state board accepts the finding of the external peer review entity, in whole or in part, and revises the proposed rule major proposed regulation accordingly, or rejects the finding. If the state board disagrees with any aspect of the findings of the external peer review entity, it shall explain, and include as part of the rulemaking record, its basis for arriving at that determination in the adoption of the final-rule version of the major proposed regulation, including why it has determined that the related impacts

5 AB 2299

analysis is based on sound-scientific and economic knowledge, methods, and practices.

- (4) A public hearing is conducted to provide opportunity for public comment on the written report of the external peer review entity or public comment on the explanation of disagreement with the report included in the rulemaking record by the state board. The state board shall not issue notice of a public hearing on adoption of the final version of a rule the major proposed regulation until the public hearing described in this paragraph has concluded.
- (f) Notwithstanding subdivision (e), if the external peer review entity fails to provide a written report within 90 days the time frame determined by the state board pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (e), the state board may act to adopt the final version of the rule major proposed regulation.
- (g) The requirements of this section do not apply to an emergency regulation adopted pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 11346.1 of the Government Code.
- (h) This section shall not be construed to limit the authority of the state board to adopt a—rule regulation pursuant to the requirements of any other law that authorizes or requires the adoption of the rule regulation.
- (i) Once an external peer review of the related impacts analysis has been completed pursuant to this section, the state board is not required to conduct additional peer reviews pursuant to this section.